



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,851	04/19/2001		Jurgen Heuser	Mo-6284/LeA 32,990	6320
75	90	06/15/2004		EXAMINER	
Patent Departi			WITHERSPOON, SIKARL A		
Bayer Corporat	ion				
100 Bayer Road	i		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Pittsburgh, PA 15205-9741				1621	
				DATE MAIL ED. 04/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/807,851	HEUSER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sikarl A. Witherspoon	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2004.						
·						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-8 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati onty documents have been receive ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	[7]	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Pursuant to an appeals conference, prosecution has been reopened in order to apply the following new rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Obrecht (US 4,231,959).

Obrecht discloses a process for producing phosgene by reacting carbon monoxide with chlorine in the presence of activated carbon. The final reaction product gases are at a temperature ranging from 50 to about 70° C, and a pressure ranging from 1.5 kg/cm² to about 4.5 kg/cm² (147 to 441 kPa<sub>abs</sub>). Phosgene accumulated in the reaction tank amounts to 99.96 mol percent phosgene and 0.02 mol percent carbon tetrachloride (CCl<sub>4</sub>), i.e., an amount of carbon tetrachloride that is significantly less than 150 ppm (see abstract; col. 4, lines 40-58; and Example 1). The process disclosed by Obrecht anticipates the instant claims.

Art Unit: 1621

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cicha et al (WO 97/30932). The instant claims are drawn to a process for producing phosgene which is low in carbon tetrachloride content by the reaction of carbon monoxide with chlorine in the presence of elemental carbon, in a reactor, wherein the gas stream emerging from the reactor is at a temperature of 30 to 80° C and under a pressure of 120 to 400 kPa.

Cicha et al teaches a process for preparing phosgene by reacting carbon monoxide with chlorine in the presence elemental carbon at a temperature of 40-300° C, preferably, 50-150°C. The phosgene produced has a carbon tetrachloride content of less than 300 ppm, preferably, less than about 100 ppm (p 3, lines 18-32).

Cicha et al differs from the instant invention in that applicants' process recites a specific pressure range, while Cicha et al does not recite a pressure. As such, it is assumed that Cicha's process is conducted at standard pressure, which is 101 kPa. The instant claims are rendered obvious in view of Cicha et al absent a showing of unexpected or superior results. The difference in pressure between 101 and 120 kPa is minimal, and such a range is well within the experimental range that one of ordinary skill in the art would employ in attempting to optimize process results.

Art Unit: 1621

produced.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cicha et al as applied to claims 2-4 and 8 above, and further in view of Obrecht, (U.S. 4,231,959). The instant claim is drawn to carbon monoxide having a methane content of 50 ppm at most. Cicha et al do not teach such a limitation. However, Obrecht teaches a process for preparing phosgene where in carbon monoxide comprising 0.12 mole percent of methane is reacted with chlorine. Although Obrecht does not specifically teach 50 ppm of methane, the reference shows that trace amounts of methane may be present in the carbon monoxide. It would have been suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the amount of methane presence in the carbon monoxide reactant, with the motivation of optimizing the concentration of phosgene

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikarl A. Witherspoon whose telephone number is 571-272-0649. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sikarl A. Witherspoon Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

> Johann Richter, Ph D. Esq. Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600